

Sustento del uso justo
de Materiales Protegidos
derechos de autor para
fines educativos



UCI

Universidad para la
Cooperación Internacional

UCI
Sustento del uso justo de materiales protegidos por
derechos de autor para fines educativos

El siguiente material ha sido reproducido, con fines estrictamente didácticos e ilustrativos de los temas en cuestión, se utilizan en el campus virtual de la Universidad para la Cooperación Internacional – UCI – para ser usados exclusivamente para la función docente y el estudio privado de los estudiantes pertenecientes a los programas académicos.

La UCI desea dejar constancia de su estricto respeto a las legislaciones relacionadas con la propiedad intelectual. Todo material digital disponible para un curso y sus estudiantes tiene fines educativos y de investigación. No media en el uso de estos materiales fines de lucro, se entiende como casos especiales para fines educativos a distancia y en lugares donde no atenta contra la normal explotación de la obra y no afecta los intereses legítimos de ningún actor.

La UCI hace un USO JUSTO del material, sustentado en las excepciones a las leyes de derechos de autor establecidas en las siguientes normativas:

- a- Legislación costarricense: Ley sobre Derechos de Autor y Derechos Conexos, No.6683 de 14 de octubre de 1982 - artículo 73, la Ley sobre Procedimientos de Observancia de los Derechos de Propiedad Intelectual, No. 8039 – artículo 58, permiten el copiado parcial de obras para la ilustración educativa.
- b- Legislación Mexicana; Ley Federal de Derechos de Autor; artículo 147.
- c- Legislación de Estados Unidos de América: En referencia al uso justo, menciona: "está consagrado en el artículo 106 de la ley de derecho de autor de los Estados Unidos (U.S.Copyright - Act) y establece un uso libre y gratuito de las obras para fines de crítica, comentarios y noticias, reportajes y docencia (lo que incluye la realización de copias para su uso en clase)."
- d- Legislación Canadiense: Ley de derechos de autor C-11– Referidos a Excepciones para Educación a Distancia.
- e- OMPI: En el marco de la legislación internacional, según la Organización Mundial de Propiedad Intelectual lo previsto por los tratados internacionales sobre esta materia. El artículo 10(2) del Convenio de Berna, permite a los países miembros establecer limitaciones o excepciones respecto a la posibilidad de utilizar lícitamente las obras literarias o artísticas a título de ilustración de la enseñanza, por medio de publicaciones, emisiones de radio o grabaciones sonoras o visuales.

Además y por indicación de la UCI, los estudiantes del campus virtual tienen el deber de cumplir con lo que establezca la legislación correspondiente en materia de derechos de autor, en su país de residencia.

Finalmente, reiteramos que en UCI no lucramos con las obras de terceros, somos estrictos con respecto al plagio, y no restringimos de ninguna manera el que nuestros estudiantes, académicos e investigadores accedan comercialmente o adquieran los documentos disponibles en el mercado editorial, sea directamente los documentos, o por medio de bases de datos científicas, pagando ellos mismos los costos asociados a dichos accesos.

Materiality, Everywhere

What it is—and why projects
are not immune in sustainability
reporting and ESG disclosures



Executive summary

Materiality is simple in theory: report only what truly matters. In sustainability, that now spans what matters to investors (financial materiality) and what matters to people and planet (impact materiality)—increasingly taken together as dual materiality. Markets and rules are converging: investor disclosures demand decision-useful detail, while stakeholder reports demand credible impacts and proof.

The place where those facts are created and evidenced isn't in year-end spreadsheets—it's in projects. If project activity and data aren't part of your reporting system, your ESG disclosures will be thin and your sustainability report will feel soft. The GPM P5™ Standard codifies this link and shows how project impacts become material topics for both ESG disclosures and sustainability reports.



01

Materiality in plain English

Materiality comes from securities law: information is material if a **reasonable investor** would consider it important to a decision (buy, sell, vote). That definition is now the anchor for sustainability information too . Critically, investors already read a company through **the projects it undertakes**—projects signal strategy, growth, risk and execution quality—so project-related information can itself be material to investor decisions .



02

Two audiences, two lenses— often both



ESG disclosures (outside-in): investor-oriented, about how sustainability issues affect enterprise value (governance, strategy, risks, metrics & targets).



Sustainability reports (inside-out): stakeholder-oriented, about policies, practices, impacts and goals (GRI-style) .



The P5 Standard explicitly frames **dual materiality**—considering both financial relevance and societal / environmental significance—as the emerging norm, in step with regulatory developments that made this principle explicit in Europe .



03

The global investor baseline: decision-useful or bust

ISSB's climate standard (IFRS S2) has become the reference point for investor-grade climate disclosures. Its guidance isn't abstract; it shows the level of **disaggregation** and **specificity** investors expect: Scope 3 split by **category** (e.g., Category 1 vs. 11), emissions by **gas** when that changes decisions, and hard **exposure metrics** by site/asset (flood, heat, water stress). It also flags **transition** signals (e.g., concentration of exposure to carbon-related assets; revenue from low-carbon products).

Translation: this is **project-level reality**—by site, by asset, by supplier, by decision—not a tidy annual average.

04

Projects are not immune from materiality

Material sustainability questions appear first—and most truthfully—in projects:

1

Exposure lives at site/asset level

E.g., proportion of property or infrastructure in flood/heat/water-stress zones; number and value of mortgages in 100-year flood zones—exactly the kind of granularity investors deem decision-useful.

2

Transition happens as projects

Retrofits, material substitutions, design changes—this is where low-carbon revenue and risk shifts are made visible (and where disaggregation by category or gas often becomes material).

3

Supply-chain integrity is a procurement reality

Labor standards, chain-of-custody, recycled content—evidence lives in RFPs, POs, audits; if it's not captured here, it's not credible anywhere.

Bottom line: if projects don't capture the "how," you can't defend the "what." And that undermines **both** investor disclosures and stakeholder reports. The P5 Standard makes this link explicit, mapping project impacts to material topics and into ESG/sustainability reporting flows

05

Why the gap keeps showing up (and why it's costly)

Most reports present **outcomes** (emissions totals, safety rates, donation amounts) without the **project decisions and controls** that produced them. That's where credibility cracks: no traceability from claim → control → evidence → outcome. Meanwhile, the real world keeps turning up the heat—literally. A global study across 10,000+ professionals in 113 countries shows sharp increases in climate-linked project disruption, and calls out the need to integrate sustainability into mainstream project practice and training—exactly where most organizations admit a gap today .

Net effect: you risk **investor skepticism, assurance findings**, slower approvals, and missed opportunity—because the evidence that makes sustainability information **material** was never captured where it happens.





06

This isn't a "how-to" —it's a decision

If you want disclosures that hold up with investors and reports stakeholders trust, you don't need more pages. You need **capability**. The P5™ Standard already defines how project impacts connect to ESG disclosures and sustainability reports; what organizations lack is the **muscle memory** to make it real. That's why we steer leaders to **Sustainable Project Management™ training** and **GPM-b® certification**:

1

P5 and its project-level impact sets are built to feed both investor and stakeholder reporting, including dual materiality framing and mapping to common standards (GRI, SASB/ISSB).

2

ISSB's examples show exactly the kind of disaggregated, decision-useful detail capital markets expect—detail you only get when project teams know what to capture and why.

Training and certification close the gap between **intent** and **evidence**—so your next cycle isn't a scramble for stories but a confident export of facts.



07

A final word to executives and PMOs

Open your last report and pick one strong claim. Now ask yourself: could we defend it by project, by site, by supplier, with names and dates? If that question tightens your stomach, you've just found your materiality gap—and it's operational, not editorial.

Take the Sustainable Project Management™ Training and pursue GPM-b® certification. You'll align the way you deliver projects with what the world now expects you to disclose—making your ESG filings **decision-useful** and your sustainability story **credible**. The profession is asking for it; the market is rewarding it; the climate's already demanding it.



MATERIALITY, EVERYWHERE



Website

gpm.org